191870

COPY

TO: Charles L.A. Terreni

Chief Clerk

Office of the Executive Director S.C. Public Service Commission

Ph:1 803 896 5713/5230; Fax 1803 8965231

Posted: ______

Dept: _____

Date: _____

Time:

FROM: NAME:

BEATRICE WEAVER

ADDRESS: 1253 HARLLEES ERIDGE ROAD, DILLON S.C. 29536

PHONE #: 1 843 841 1606 TELEFAX #: 1 843 774 2050

EMAIL:

SUBJECT: NOTICE AND CONFIRMATION OF DISMISSALS PARA 3 & 4

10110E AND CONTROL TION OF DISHIBSTED THUIS WA

REF: PROGRESS ENERGY CASE No. 2004-219-E DILLON CASE NO. 2004-CP-232

DATE: THURSDAY, April 10, 2008

No of Pages: 7 inclusive

MESSAGE:

1. Attached please find my communication dated April 10, 2008. (pp.6)

2. Please acknowledge and confirm your receipt by return fax and mail for the

Thank you.

RECEIVED

APR 1 0 2008

LEGAL DEPARTMENT
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF SOUTH CAROLINA

April 9, 2008

Mr. Charles L.A. Terreni Chief Clerk/Administrator S.C. Public Service Commission P.O. Drawer 11649 Colombia S.C. 29211

Ph: 1803 896 5113; Fx: 1 803 896 5231

Dear Mr. Terreni:

Subject:

Notice and confinuation of Dismissals pursuant to

paragraphs 3 and 6 of Mutual Release dated September 18,

2007, Dillon Court of Pleas.

Ref:

Progress Energy Fetition No. 2004-219-E Case No. 2004-Cl -232, Dillon Court of Pleas.

"Mutual Release" dated Sept.18, 2007 by and between

Progress Energy and the Undersigned.

Docket No. 2007- 101-E Re Change of Providers

Pursuant to the Court Order dated February 28, 2008, in Case No. 2004-CP-232 in the Dillon Court of Pleas, the undersigned parties hereby confirm to the commission and the Court, the dismissals of matters as provided for in paragraphs 3 and 4 of the Mutual Release ("Release") dated September 18, 2007, copy attached hereto as Exhibit A and by reference made part hereof.

Please acknowledge and certify your receipt hereof by return mail, with copy to The Hon. Paul M. Burch, Presiding Judge, Dillon Court of Pleas, and Ms. Gwen T. Hyatt, Clerk of Court at the addresses provided herein pelow.

For the record, in filing this dismissal your attention is directed to the said paragraphs 3 and 4, and the caveats cited herein below.

- 1. This notice and confirmation of dismissal pursuant to said para. 3 and para. 4, is filed under protest as redundant, and under objection duly filed with the Court, in order to strictly comply with the Court's Order, under threat of sanctions.
- 2. The Order issued by Judge Burch directing the Defendants to comply with para. 3 and 4 is incorrect based on the facts. In fact the order should apply only to the Plaintiff who has the legal obligation to prepare stipulations for dismissal pursuant to Rules 40 (j), 41 (a) (1) (ii) and 43 (k) SCRCP and as agreed in said para. 3 and 4. Moreover, by the very act of executing the Release, the uncersigned agreed to dismiss action stated in para. 3 & 4. It was the Plaintiff's obligation to prepare any dismissal documents for filing if such were necessary.
- 3. The Release was prepared by Plaintiff's attorney. Para 3 and 4 are ambiguous as to when, where, who, how and exactly what, we being implemented. Any shortcomings are construed against the drafter of the documer ts. No time constraints, conditions, or

contingencies were imposed, or order of priorities set for carrying out the agreement, and especially para.3 and para.4. Thus in interpreting the Release agreement we resort to the procedures provided in S.C.R.C.P. as cited here in Para. 1 and 2 on the other hand are precise and have been manifestly violated by PFC and complied with by Defendants.

- 4. Note that paragraph 3 requires that **all three parties** to the Release "...will dismiss any complaint and any response thereto relating to any matter currently pending before the S.C. Public Service Commission."
- 1. As of this date the undersigned have not received a copy of any such dismissal by Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc (PFC). If and when your Office receives PEC's notice of dismissal please ensure the undersigned each receive a copy for file.
- 2. Plaintiff PEC has not provided at y stipulations for dismissal with the Commission or the Court pursuant to Rules 40 (), 41 (a) (1) (ii) and 43 (k) SCRCP.
- 3. At the time of executing the Release or since, there were no complaints and no responses or counterclaims currently per ding before the Commission. PEC's attorneys provided no documentation that such pleadings existed neither at the settlement conference, nor at the Court Hearing on January 30, 2008, or since. None existed.
- 4. On the contrary, there is sufficient evidence that all matters were dismissed by the Commission five months earlier, and, at the undersigned's specific request to clarify the situation by the Commission, confirmed again in November 2007.
- 5. The Commission had dismissed; ny and all action before it as to the undersigned, confirmed in writing by formal Order and directive and letter of the Hearing Officer. There are no matters existing between the parties before the Commission to be dismissed, as of September 17, 2007. If by some strange regulatory alchemy, there are any such matters between the parties, they no longer exist pursuant to this confirmation of dismissal, subject of course to PEC's filing of the same dismissals as required by the Release. Documentation on the second of this claim is as follows:
 - 1. Commission Order No. 2007-298 dated May 3, 2007
 - 2. Hearing Officer Directive dated May 24, 2007
 - 3. PEC Letter to the Commission dated September 27, 2007 filing a copy of the Mutu: 1 Release
 - 4. Hearing Officer's letter dated October 9, 2007 confirming receipt of the dismissals.
 - 5. Hearing Officer's letter dited November 8, 2007 confirming receipt of the dismissals.
 - 6. Commission Order No. 2707-835 dated November 13, 2007.
 - 7. The undersigned forfeiter any rights of appeal of the Commission Orders pursuant to S.C. Code. 1976 Ann. As Amend. Sect. 58-27-2150 and Sect. 58-27-2310.
 - 8. The O.R.S. had dismissed any action back in 2005, under direct orders of PEC.
 - 9. Last but not least, the undersigned Gary Weaver confirmed the dismissals under para. 3 and 4 with the Commission by letter dated January 24, 2008. Please refer to Item 6 and 7 (p.2.).

- 6. For good cause and on the grounds cited above, the undersigned reiterates herein for the Commission record that the Courd's order as to para. 3 and para. 4 is incorrect, redundant based on the evidence, and mis-applied to the undersigned, and should be directed to Progress Energy who remains as of this writing, in continued violation of both paragraphs 3 and 4 and 1 and 2.
- 5. Para. 4. provides that "The parties will Fautually release each other as to any matter... whatsoever which may exist between the parties as of September 17, 2007." Here again, the above comments apply with respect to para. 4. and PEC's obligations.
- 6. PEC has violated this para. 4 provision also. PEC has refused to file a stipulation for dismissal with the Court to facilitate a "murual release" as required under para. 4 and the above-cited SCRCP rules.

Additionally, PEC has breached its con ract with the undersigned Beatrice Weaver to provide electric power to a residenc: located at 1249 Harlees Bridge Road, Dillon S.C. by unilaterally converting, without any prior written notice or agreement to amend the contract, its status to "small comme cial" in violation of Commission Reg. 103-442 as to agreements for service. Para. 4 v as supposed to fix that situation with a return to "residential" status which has existed since 1994. PEC refuses to reverse that classification and continues in violation of para. 4. The undersigned has "mutually released" as required and shall look to the Court to enforce PEC to meet its obligations.

- 7. The Mutual Release applies only to the undersigned as separate individuals and to PEC.
- 8. The Release applies only to matters be ore the Court and the Commission as of and inclusive of September 17, 2007. Any matters that have or may occur between the three parties commencing as of September 18, 2007 are not covered by the terms and conditions of the Release.
- 9. The undersigned has requested a Jury 'rial of the many issues related to PEC's violation of all of the terms and conditions of 'he Release. This may or may not involve concurrent jurisdiction of administrative matters referred to in para. 5 of the Release.
- 10. It is noted for future reference that PEC has stated categorically to the Commission that it will comply with any request for a change of providers with any qualified entity that comes forward. There is no time limit on this commitment, nor any restriction of the entity that may replace PEC. Your Office may note that issues relating to para. 5. of the Release are also to be placed before the jury on several grounds, particularly PEC's fraud, fraudulent inducement and fraudulent acts.

Respectfully submitted,

Beatrice Weaver

1253 Harllees Bridge Road

Dillon S.C. 29536 Ph: 843 841 1606 Fx: 843 774 2050

Gary Weaver PO Box 7682 Florence SC 29502

Att: Exhibit A, Mutual Release

cc: The Hon. Paul M. Burch
Presiding Judge, Dillon Court of Pleas
P.O. Box 276,
Pageland S.C. 29728

The Hon. Gwen Hyatt Clerk of Court, Dillon County PO Drawer 1220, Dillon S.C. 29536

Daniel H. Shine, Esq. 211 W.Hampton St, Dillon S.C. 29536

Mark W. Buyck, Jr. Esq. P.O. Box 1909 Florence S.C. 29503-1909

Ms. Shannon Bowyer Hudson, Esq. Counsel, Office of Regulatory Staff of S.C. 1441 Main St, Suite 300 Colombia S.C. 29201

VIA TELEFAX AND US. CERTIFIED MAIL

STATE OF	SOUTH CAROLINA) IN T	COURT OF COMMON PLEAS	
COUNTY	OF DILLON) CA	NO.: 04-CP-17-232	
PROGRESS INC.,	S ENERGY CAROLINAS,)		
	PLAINTIFF,		
	.vs.	TUTUAL RELEASE	
GARY WE WEAVER,	AVER AND BEATRICE)		
	DEFENDANTS.)		

The	case of Progress Energy Carolinas	c, v. Beatrice Weaver and Gary Weaver	CA#
2004-CP-1	7-232 will be dismissed on the follo	ng terms and conditions:	-
(1)	Payment from Bestrice Weaver Carolinas, Inc in the amount of	d/or Gary Weaver to Progress Energy 1,110.44 as follows:	•
•	September 18, 2007 - \$1,000.00	pon the execution of this re case)	
	October 18, 2007 - \$1,000.00		
	November 18, 2007 - \$1,110.44		
(2)	Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc	v I reinitiate electrical service to 1253 Form	ees
	Bridge Road, Dilion, SC:29536	i the name of Beatrice Wallerstein Living	Trust
	upon payment of the first \$1,00	0 0 referenced above and upon payment of	the
	normal and customary security.	d nosit.	
(3)	Beatrice Weaver, Gary Weaver	a 1 Progress Energy Carolinas will dismiss	any
	complaint and any response the	relating to any matter currently pending	before
	the South Carolina Public Servi	c Commission.	1
	The state of the s		

Jan.

EXHIB T A.

I well

- (4) The parties will mutually release each other as to any matter, action, potential action or any matter whatsoever which may exist between the parties as of September 17, 2007.
- Weaver or the Beatrice Wallenstein Living Trust for a change of service provider to the premises owned by the said Trust or by Mrs. Weaver or by Mr. Weaver, and they acknowledge that the ultimestal decision regarding the change of service will be subject to negotiations between the Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc. and the substitute service provider. They is no guarantee that Progress Energy will ultimately approve the change of service if such change of service involves any potential loss of current or future sustomers of Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc., other than the parties hereto.

ary Weaver

13 Light

Beatrice Weaver

,

We Consent:

Daniel H. Shine

Attorney for Beatrice Weaver

Mark W. Buyck, III

Attorney for Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.